

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,178 09/30/2003		9/30/2003	Marco Pinna	243129US0	1258	
22850	7590	03/29/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SI 1940 DUKE	•	ICCLELLAND, N	PRATS, FRANCISCO CHANDLER			
ALEXANDI		22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1651		

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/673,17	8	PINNA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Francisco	C. Prats	1651					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicar period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. In period will apply and with a statute, cause the apply	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be timed to be spire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ication to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	ı .							
·									
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
•	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🔲 :	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		•						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
44)□:	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	oo the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certif	led copies not receive	u.					
Attachment	(a)								
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	O ₋ 152)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ No(s)/Mail Date	/OB/U6)	6) Other:	atont replication (PT)	J-132)				

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-7 are presented for examination.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a cosmetic, aromatic, pharmaceutical and/or food substance in film form, classified in class 424, subclass 93.4.
- II. Claim 7, drawn to a method of preparing a cosmetic, aromatic, pharmaceutical and/or food substance in film form, classified in class 424, subclass 401.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, because the process as claimed is recited in open "comprising" language the process encompasses any number of steps not recited in the claim, including steps such as the

Art Unit: 1651

addition of independent and distinct active ingredients, which would render the resulting composition materially different than that recited in group I. Moreover, the product as claimed can be prepared by distinct processes using materially different process steps and conditions, including different temperatures and recovery techniques such as skimming.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit

Application/Control Number: 10/673,178

Art Unit: 1651

evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francisco

Application/Control Number: 10/673,178

Art Unit: 1651

C. Prats whose telephone number is 571-272-0921. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (coll free).

Francisco C. Prats Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651 Page 5